

Some Articles by Jean Sternlight Discussing “Mandatory” Arbitration

Jean R. Sternlight, “In Defense of Mandatory Arbitration (if Imposed on the Company),” 8 Nev. L.J. 82-106 (2007)

Jean R. Sternlight, “Creeping Mandatory Arbitration: Is it Just?” 57 Stanford L. Rev. 1631-1675 (2005)

Jean R. Sternlight & Elizabeth J. Jensen, “Using Arbitration to Eliminate Consumer Class Actions: Efficient Business Practice or Unconscionable Abuse?” 67 Law & Contemp. Probs. 75-103 (2004)

Jean R. Sternlight, “The Rise and Spread of Mandatory Arbitration as a Substitute for the Jury Trial,” 38 U.S.F. L. Rev. 17-38 (2003)

Jean R. Sternlight, “Is the U.S. Out on a Limb?: Comparing the U.S. Approach to Mandatory Consumer and Employment Arbitration to that of the Rest of the World,” 56 U. Miami L. Rev. 831-864 (2002)

Jean R. Sternlight, “Mandatory Binding Arbitration and the Demise of the Seventh Amendment Right to a Jury Trial,” 16 Ohio St. J. Disp. Res. 669-733 (2001)

Jean R. Sternlight, “As Mandatory Binding Arbitration Meets the Class Action, Will the Class Action Survive?,” 42 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 1-126 (Oct. 2000)

Jean R. Sternlight, “Compelling Arbitration of Claims Under the Civil Rights Act of 1866: What Congress Could Not Have Intended,” 47 U. Kan. L. Rev. 273-332 (1999)

Jean R. Sternlight, “Forum Shopping for Arbitration Decisions: Federal Courts’ Use of Antisuit Injunctions Against State Courts,” 147 U. Pa. L. Rev. 91-203 (1998)

Jean R. Sternlight, “Rethinking the Constitutionality of the Supreme Court’s Preference for Binding Arbitration: A Fresh Assessment of Jury Trial, Separation of Powers and Due Process Concerns,” 72 Tulane L. Rev. 1-100 (1997)

Jean R. Sternlight, “Panacea or Corporate Tool?: Debunking the Supreme Court’s Preference for Binding Arbitration,” 74 Wash. U. L. Q. 637-712 (1996)